

# NEWCASTLE · UNDER · LYME

## BOROUGH COUNCIL



### CALL-IN REQUEST FORM

Decision reference/minute no.	CABINET - ITEM FOUR
Date of publication of decision:	04 <sup>TH</sup> SEPTEMBER 2019
Decision taken by:	CABINET
<b>This form must be returned to the Chief Executive within 7 working days of the decision being published with at least 5 signatures</b>	
Decision Called in: NEWCASTLE TOWN COUNCIL REVIEW REPORT UPDATE (CCTV)	
<p><b>A call-in should satisfy one or more of the following criteria</b></p> <p><b>Which of the following criteria is supports the call-in of this decision? (please tick)</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The decision may be contrary to the budget or policy framework set by the council and the Monitoring Officer has advised accordingly</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The decision is inconsistent with another Council Policy</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The decision is inconsistent with a previous Overview and Scrutiny recommendation, which has been accepted by the council or the Cabinet</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The decision maker has not taken into account relevant considerations and this can be demonstrated by reference to the documents supporting the decision</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The decision maker has failed to consult relevant people or bodies in contravention of defined Council Policies or procedures</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The decision has or will demonstrate a significant adverse public reaction</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The decision gives rise to significant legal, financial or propriety issues</p>	

**Please explain how the relevant criteria above are met by this call in:**

ADVERSE PUBLIC REACTION: i, THE PUBLIC WILL NOT UNDERSTAND THE BUDGETARY COUNCIL HANDING OVER CONTROL OF SUCH AN IMPORTANT SYSTEM TO AN AUTHORITY IN FINANCIAL DIFFICULTIES AND UNABLE TO FUNCTION WITHOUT IN YEAR BUDGET CHANGES, ii, A WIDER REVIEW WOULD POINT TO THE LACK OF THOUGHT ABOUT THE OTHER TOWNS AND VILLAGES.

FINANCIAL PROBLEMS ISSUES: i, NO FINANCIAL SECURITY OF THIS DECISION, ii, SERIOUS OF THIS REVIEW REMAIN UNCLOSED, NO CAPITAL FUNDING FOR GRANT.

**Suggested proposal you would like to be voted on at the call-in meeting (this should be an evidence-based proposal and you should provide evidence to support the proposal)**

i, FINANCE SHOULD REVIEW THIS REPORT (FAPSC)

ii, A WIDER REVIEW SHOULD BE UNDERTAKEN TO COST POSSIBLE OPTIONS INCLUDING SITES SUCH AS KIOSCOTE TOWN CENTRE, (PUBLIC PROTECTION)

Members requesting call-in of the decision:

	Name	Signature	Date
1.	TONY KEARON	Tony Kearon	09/09/19
2.	STEVE MOFFAT	Steve Moffat	09/09/19
3.	MIKE STOBBS	Mike Stobbs	09/09/19
4.	MARY OLSZENIUM	Mary Olszenium	09/09/19
5.	CHL WILLIAMS	Chl Williams	09/09/19
6.	DEAN JONATHAN	Dean Jonathan	09/09/19

**THIS PART OF THE FORM IS TO BE COMPLETED BY THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OR HIS/HER REPRESENTATIVE**

Date and time form received:	9.9.19 at 13.58
Form processed by (name):	CAROLINE ELWOOD
Date of publication of decision:	5.9.19
Was the Call-in request received within 7 working days of publication?	YES/NO If no reject and inform relevant parties
Are there at least 5 appropriate Member's signatures on the call-in notice?	YES/NO If no reject and inform relevant parties
Which Overview and Scrutiny Committee will this call-in be referred to?	Health, Well Being + Partnership

Signature of Chair / Vice-Chair of relevant Overview and Scrutiny Committee	Date:
---	-------

The appropriate decision making body, Members requesting call-in and the Scrutiny Officer need to be informed of receipt of call-in form.